

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN

District of

MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

ORLANDO KEITH McCORD,

Case

4:06-CR-20584-FL

Defendant

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I—Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____.
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-©, or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the ☐ date of conviction ☐ release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternative Findings (A)

- X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. 801 et. seq. under 18 U.S.C. § 924©.
- (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings (B)

- (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- X (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence X a preponderance of the evidence that detention is appropriate in this matter. The information presented at the hearing indicates that although the defendant is a resident of the state and does not pose a risk of flight, the credible information presented does portray him as a danger to the community. The record indicates that the defendant has two previous state court felony convictions involving illegal substances. The record further reveals that the defendant had violated the terms of his state court probation. It further appears that the instant offense was committed shortly after the defendant was discharged from his state court criminal matter. Accordingly, based upon the defendant's previous criminal history and the nature of the current criminal charges, I find that the defendant poses a danger to the community should he be released. The defendant shall be detained without bond pending trial in this matter. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: November 27, 2006

s/ Wallace Capel, Jr.

WALLACE CAPEL, JR. U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 27, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which will send such notification of such filing to the following: James C. Mitchell, AUSA, Kenneth R. Sasse, Esq., Federal Defender Office, and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service/hand delivered the paper to the following non-ECF participants: United States Marshal Service, 600 Church St., Flint, MI, 48502, Pretrial Services Officer, 600 Church St., Flint, MI 48502.

s/James P. Peltier
James P. Peltier
Courtroom Deputy Clerk
U.S.District Court
600 Church St.
Flint, MI 48502
810-341-7850
pete__peltier@mied.uscourts.gov